

94

HIW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Haller et al.

Confirmation No.: 8778

Serial No.:

09/838,068

Group Art Unit: 2154

Filed:

04/19/01

Examiner: Haresh N. Patel

Title:

METHOD AND SYSTEM TO IMPROVE USAGE OF AN INSTRUCTION WINDOW BUFFER IN MULTI-PROCESSOR, PARALLEL PROCESSING

ENVIRONMENTS

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on February 17, 2005.

II K. Becker

Date of Signature: February 17, 2005.

To:

Mail Stop AF

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Interview Summary

Dear Sir:

On January 5, 2005, applicants' attorney, Blanche E. Schiller (not Stephen Hladik, as indicated in the Interview Summary), held a telephonic interview with Supervisory Examiner John Follansbee and a subsequent interview with Examiner Patel regarding the disapproval of the 37 CFR 1.312 Amendment that was filed with payment of the issue fee.

Applicants discussed that the 312 Amendment was being filed to correct an antecedent basis error resulting from an Examiner's amendment. It was discussed that the amendment to claims 1, 6 and 12 adding "at least one process of" is consistent with "said at least one process" in the claims. Further, it was discussed that the reasons for allowance were not centered on these

terms. Applicants requested that the 312 Amendment be reconsidered and entered. Examiner Patel indicated that the 312 Amendment would be entered.

Respectfully submitted,

Blanche E. Schiller

Į

Blanche E. Schiller Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 35,670

Dated: February 17, 2005.

HESLIN ROTHENBERG FARLEY & MESITI P.C.

5 Columbia Circle

Albany, New York 12203-5160 Telephone: (518) 452-5600

Facsimile: (518) 452-5579